



Social protection for informal construction sector workers: Case Cambodia

Context

The construction industry in Cambodia employs between 200.000 and 250.000 workers. It consists of complex sub-contracting arrangements. Large firms, often foreign companies tend to operate in the formal sector but only employ a small number of staff.

One of these large firms will subcontract 10 local companies, one for cement work, another for electrical work, etc. These 10 companies then in turn subcontract another 100 local enterprises. The construction value chain is so long that the workers on the ground do not necessarily have any idea which firm should register and pay contributions for them to the NSSF.

Like Chuck, workers do not have written contracts. The findings of an EU-SPS/Finland funded study indicate that 99% of workers are informally employed. Another central feature of the sector is that 70% of the construction workers are only seasonally employed and often return to their rural homes.

Currently only formal sector workers whose employers have registered the company and its employees with National Social Security Fund (NSSF) are entitled to Social Insurance Services like Employment Injury Insurance (EII) and Social Health Insurance scheme (SHI).

As the graph below indicates, formal sector workers registered with NSSF are only 13% of the total Cambodian workforce, 1.1 million workers. This means that 87% of the workforce are informal and are not entitled to any social insurance services.

The EU-SPS/Finland funded Social Protection for Informal Workers –project attempts to understand how the workers that are currently informal (but not too poor to contribute towards their own social security) could



Photo by Alex Consiglio.

join the NSSF. The Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs and NSSF have requested EU-SPS/Finland and ILO to investigate this.

Overview of challenges*

In order to facilitate the inclusion of informal workers into NSSF's contributory social protection, the joint EU-SPS/ILO project identified the following challenges that need to be addressed:

Identification of firms

The firms are supposed be active and register themselves with NSSF. NSSF does not have a mechanism or a strategy to identify the firms that should register with it. Further, NSSF has not been able to coordinate with other authorities, e.g. with the Ministry of Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction that approves the construction permits.

Registration of the firm and workers

Firms have to register at the NSSF branch offices, often far away from the places where firms operate. While registering, the firms also have to present all their



International
Labour
Organization



EU Social Protection Systems Programme



NATIONAL INSTITUTE
FOR HEALTH AND WELFARE
FINLAND



2. Review Registration procedures

In order to facilitate the actual registration process, the NSSF should consider using a local agent or introducing mobile units that would visit firms and identify the workers there.

3. Strengthen Communication

NSSF should establish a communication unit to make the firms, existing registered workers and public aware of the benefits that NSSF provides. It is essential that all the key stakeholders are informed about the reforms that NSSF intends to initiate.

4. Ensuring effective protection

The success of NSSF has until now been measured by the number of registered members. However the fact that the average member only contributes for 7 out of 12 months indicates that for five months there is no protection. Also, when pensions will be introduced next year, the contribution for 7 months will not meet the eligibility criteria for the pension. Instead of measuring the number of newly registered members NSSF should consider measuring the number and frequency of contributions, not just the first time registration

5. Review contribution arrangements

Currently the firms are paying all the contributions for both EII and SHI. This is a substantial cost for the firms and not an international practice. The findings indicate


that this cost is a disincentive for firms to register and an incentive for some firms to become informal again. Shared contributions should be re-established.

6. Imposing a levy on the construction sector

A short-term option to extend social protection to the informal constructions sector workers is through a levy on the cost of the construction projects. With the levy welfare funds can be established that can finance a range of social security benefits for the workers. The main contractor will pay for the levy. The challenge with this approach is that the informal workers will not be registered with the NSSF. They will be covered by EII and SHI only as long as they work in that particular construction site. Hence this model does not contribute towards the formalization of the project.

7. Imposing higher contribution rates on main contractor if sub-contractors are unregistered with the NSSF

The main contractor would in this approach be responsible for providing NSSF the details of other firms and their workers. The NSSF would then verify whether the sub-contractors and workers are registered with NSSF. If not, the main contractor would be liable for higher contributions rates. This approach would encourage the formalisation of workers but would require better coordination between the ministries (see Recommendation 1).



Source: Cambodia Socio Economic Survey 2014



More information:

Markku Malkamäki (markku.malkamaki@thl.fi)
Senior Social Protection Specialist
EU-SPS Helsinki/ THL
www.thl.fi/eu-sps

**Challenges and recommendations are adapted from EU-SPS and ILO funded study by Nathalie Both, Martin Evans and Stefan Thewissen: Practical Options for the Extension of Social Protection to Workers in the Informal Economy in Cambodia, ODI draft, 2018*



A painter painting a highrise building in Phnom Penh without any security gear. Photo Alex Consiglio

WHAT DOES INFORMALITY MEAN?

Informal work and informal economy are labor market definitions. Informal workers are not covered by statutory social security. Formal economy is the one regulated by law. In Cambodia all companies with one or more workers should be registered with NSSF.

Companies with one or more workers and registered with NSSF can be said to be working in the formal economy and having formal workers. This means that in principle all the informal workers who earn a salary can be incorporated into the NSSF as long as their employers are willing to register with NSSF. This is not likely to happen, at least during the short term, because registering with the NSSF will incur costs for the companies.

EU-SPS: CO-COOPERATION WITH 11 COUNTRIES AND REGIONAL ORGANIATIONS IN AFRICA AND ASIA

The EU Social Protection Systems (EU-SPS) Initiative supports national, regional and international expert institutions in 11 mainly low income countries in their efforts to develop inclusive and sustainable social protection (SP) systems.

Partner countries:

Cambodia, Ethiopia, Indonesia, Kyrgyz Republic, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, Tanzania, Togo, Vietnam and Zambia.

Funding:

the EU, OECD and Government of Finland.

Implementation:

OECD Development Centre and Government of Finland's National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). The four-year initiative was launched in 2015. Germany joined the initiative in 2016, with parallel funding through GIZ on behalf of BMZ.

For more, please visit our website at:

www.thl.fi/eu-sps